


Inference Problem

- The canonical inference problem: find the posterior probability distribution for some variable(s) given direct or virtual evidence about other variable(s).
- Diagnostic Reasoning
 - Reasoning from symptoms to cause
 - This reasoning occurs in the opposite direction to the network arcs
- Predictive Reasoning
 - Reasoning from new information about causes to new beliefs about effects
 - Follows the directions of the network arcs.

Cancer Example (Pearl)

- Metastatic cancer is a possible cause of a brain tumor and is also an explanation for increased total serum calcium. In turn, either of these could explain a patient falling into a coma. Severe headache is also possibly associated with a brain tumor.

Cancer Example (Cont'd)




Sajjad Haider

Fall 2012

5

Revised “Bob late to work” Example

- Mode of Transportation: {Car, Not Car (Public Transport)}
- Late: {True, False}
- $P(C) = 1/3$, $P(\neg C) = 2/3$
- $P(L | C) = 0.4$, $P(L | \neg C) = 0.2$



- **$P(L) = ?$**
- **$P(C | L)$, $P(\neg C | L)$**

Sajjad Haider
Sajjad HaiderFall 2012
Fall 09

6

6

Revised “Bob late to work” Example

- One approach is to compute the joint distribution through
 - $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \prod P(X_i | \text{parents}(X_i))$
 - $P(L, C) = P(L | C) P(C) = 0.4 \times 0.33$
 - $P(L, \neg C) = P(L | \neg C) P(\neg C) = 0.2 \times 0.67$
 - $P(\neg L, C) = P(\neg L | C) P(C) = 0.6 \times 0.33$
 - $P(\neg L, \neg C) = P(\neg L | \neg C) P(\neg C) = 0.8 \times 0.67$
- Now compute $P(L)$, $P(C | L)$
 - $P(L) = P(L, C) + P(L, \neg C)$
 - $P(C | L) = \frac{P(C, L)}{P(L)}$

Sajjad Haider

Fall 2012

7

Revised “Bob late to work” Example


Or we could use Bayes Theorem based propagation.

$$P(C | L) = \frac{P(L | C) P(C)}{P(L)} = \frac{0.4 \times 0.33}{P(L)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(L) &= P(L \wedge C) + P(L \wedge \neg C) \\ &= P(L | C)P(C) + P(L | \neg C) P(\neg C) \\ &= 0.4 \times 0.33 + 0.2 \times 0.67 = ? \end{aligned}$$

Both approaches (on the previous and the current slides) should give the same result



Sajjad Haider

Fall 2012


8

Lecturer's Life Example (Korb & Nicholson)

- Dr. Ann Nicholson spends 60% of her work time in her office. The rest of her work time is spent elsewhere. When Ann is in her office, half the time her light is off (when she is trying to hide from students and get some real work done).
- When she is not in her office, she leaves her light on only 5% of the time.
- 80% of the time she is in her office, Ann is logged onto the computer.
- Because she sometimes logs onto the computer from home, 10% of the time she is not in her office, she is still logged onto the computer.
- Suppose a student checks Dr. Nicholson's login status and sees that she is logged on. What effect does this have on the student's belief that Dr. Nicholson's light is on?


Lecturer's Life Example (Cont'd)

- In Office (O): {T, F}
- Lights On (L): {T, F}
- Logged on to the Computer (C) : {T, F}
- $P(O) = 0.6$
- $P(L | O) = 0.5$, $P(L | \neg O) = 0.05$
- $P(C | O) = 0.8$, $P(C | \neg O) = 0.1$
- **$P(L | C) = ?$**



Lecturer's Life Example (Cont'd)

- One possibility is to compute the joint distribution and then compute the conditional probability
 - $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \prod P(X_i | \text{parents}(X_i))$
 - $P(O, L, C) = P(L | O) P(C | O) P(O)$
 - $P(O, L, \neg C) = P(L | O) P(\neg C | O) P(O)$
 - $P(O, \neg L, C) = P(\neg L | O) P(C | O) P(O)$
 - $P(O, \neg L, \neg C) = P(\neg L | O) P(\neg C | O) P(O)$
 - $P(\neg O, L, C) = P(L | \neg O) P(C | \neg O) P(\neg O)$
 - $P(\neg O, L, \neg C) = P(L | \neg O) P(\neg C | \neg O) P(\neg O)$
 - $P(\neg O, \neg L, C) = P(\neg L | \neg O) P(C | \neg O) P(\neg O)$
 - $P(\neg O, \neg L, \neg C) = P(\neg L | \neg O) P(\neg C | \neg O) P(\neg O)$
- After computing the joint distribution, we can compute $P(L | C)$



Lecturer's Life Example (Cont'd)

- The other approach is to do inference using probability propagation based on Bayes Theorem
- First compute the posterior probability $P(O | C)$ {referred to as $P(O^*)$ }
 - $P(O^*) = \frac{P(C | O) P(O)}{P(C)}$
 - And then $P(L | C)$ {referred to as $P(L^*)$ }
 - $P(L^*) = P(L | O)P(O^*) + P(L | \neg O)P(\neg O^*)$

